CALIFORNIA MARINE AFFAIRS AND NAVIGATION CONFERENCE REDONDO BEACH, CA, JAN 2017 SOUTH PACIFIC DIVISION REGIONAL NAVIGATION OVERVIEW

Ms. Cheree Peterson, Senior Executive Programs Director JAN 2016

"The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the authors(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation."





WHAT WE WILL COVER ...

- Navigation Program Overview, Opportunities and Challenges
- CW Transformation; SPD Efficiency
 Initiatives
- Resiliency Sea Level Rise Planning

2

Closing Thoughts





NAVIGATION BUDGET BY ACCOUNT (\$MILLIONS)

SPD						
Pres Bud	GI	CG	O&M	MR&T	Pres Bud	Work Plan
Fiscal Yr					Total Nav	Total Nav
FY17	\$0.4	\$1.1	\$81.9	N/A	\$83.4	N/A
FY16	\$0.7	\$1.2*	\$76.5	N/A	\$78.4	\$185.6
FY15	\$0.8	\$6.9	\$79.1	N/A	\$86.7	\$113.7
FY14	\$1.5	\$1.2	\$69.6	N/A	\$72.3	\$106.8
FY13	\$0.1	\$1.0	\$62.7	N/A	\$63.8	\$65.8
FY12	\$0.1	\$3.8	\$53.9	N/A	\$60.9	\$79.6
National						
Pres Bud	GI	CG	O&M	MR&T	Pres Bud	Work Plan
Fiscal Yr					Total Nav	Total Nav
FY17	\$22	\$348	\$1,527	\$37	\$1,934	N/A
FY 16	\$25	\$321	\$1,563	\$38	\$1,947	\$2,491
FY 15	\$22	\$277	\$1,487	\$39	\$1,825	\$2,325
FY 14	\$23	\$345	\$1,461	\$55	\$1,884	\$2,280
FY 13	\$25	\$352	\$1,326	\$44	\$1,747	\$1,717
FY 12	\$18	\$283	\$1,237	\$37	\$1,575	\$1,883

* FY17 Pres Bud CG Does not include CAP funding

OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

- WIIN Act / WRDA 2016
 - Federal Standard
 - Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund
 - Beneficial Use
 - Non- Federal Dredging Authority
- Regulatory compliance
- Small Harbor New investment justification
- Potential Transitions to P3
- Programmatic / Regional navigation funding

4





CIVIL WORKS TRANSFORMATION

Budget Transformation; SPD focus O&M 2020 Framework

Examples – NAV COMMON O&M LEGAL &/OR PROGRAMMATIC ADMINISTRATIVE & SPECIFIC WORK ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVITIES **TECHNICAL SUPPORT** ACTIVITIES MANDATES ш NO MISSION Only C Minimum fixed Minimum compliance non-discretionary Z cost of ownership with guidance with specific authority Temporary fixes for 4 continued critical Σ operation 2 0 ы., 2 Most authorized Minimum Basic minimum to PARTIAL Compliance with ш environmental requirements for attain current service most guidance mitigation covered normal operation life interval ш. 0 S ш FULL Full renovation or Complete lifecycle Full compliance All discretionary

with guidance

U

compliance

O&M needs

ш

VALUE BY RELATIVE RISK & PRIORITIZED

replacement with new

service life interval

CIVIL WORKS TRANSFORMATION

- SMART SPD Civil Works PLANNING PORTFOLIO REVIEW RESET (14DEC2016)
 - Challenges
 - ➤ Age of FCSA's
 - Number of budget recompletions
 - > Number (17/33) of Exemption requests
 - Outlook of limit funding
 - Reclassification
 - Continue: Port of Long Beach Navigation Improvements
 - Transfer: SF Bay To Stockton (SAD)
- ASA/OMB focus on "study like" actions. Possible transition to investigations funding.
 - DMMPs under consideration to be defined as Decision Documents requiring delegated authority review and transmittal to ASA prior to continued investment decision



JS Army Corps



6

RESILIENCY AND SLR PLANNING

Recent Success: South San Francisco Bay Shoreline Study (Alviso Pond) FRM project
 ASA concurrence with using "high" scenario eliminates residual risk

SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO SHORELINE STUDY SLR SCENARIO PLANNING AND LEVEE HEIGHT JUSTIFICATION

ASSUMPTIONS:

- Base Year 2021
- Sea level change: Low: 0.51', Intermediate: 1.01'; High: 2.59'

OUTCOMES:

- Minor variation in Net Benefits between levee heights ranging from 12'-15'
- 12.5' is the NED scale under Low & Intermediate SLC
- 13.5' is the NED scale under High SLC
- High SLC significant Residual Risk
- Recommended LPP at 15.2'



